PRACE NAUKOWE
Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego we Wrocławiu

RESEARCH PAPERS
of Wrocław University of Economics

Nr 381

Financial Investments and Insurance – Global Trends and the Polish Market

edited by
Krzysztof Jajuga
Wanda Ronka-Chmielowiec

Publishing House of Wrocław University of Economics
Wrocław 2015

Copy-editing: Agnieszka Flasińska

Layout: Barbara Łopusiewicz

Proof-reading: Barbara Cibis

Typesetting: Małgorzata Czupryńska

Cover design: Beata Dębska

Information on submitting and reviewing papers is available on
the Publishing House's website
www.pracenaukowe.ue.wroc.pl
www.wydawnictwo.ue.wroc.pl

ISSN 1899-3192
e-ISSN 2392-0041

ISBN 978-83-7695-463-9

The original version: printed

Publication may be ordered in Publishing House
tel./fax 71 36-80-602; e-mail: econbook@ue.wroc.pl
www.ksiegarnia.ue.wroc.pl

Printing: TOTEM

Contents

Streszczenia

PRACE NAUKOWE UNIWERSYTETU EKONOMICZNEGO WE WROCŁAWIU
RESEARCH PAPERS OF WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS nr 381 • 2015

Financial Investment and Insurance –
Global Trends and the Polish Market

ISSN 1899-3192
e-ISSN 2392-0041

Stanisław Wanat, Monika Papież, Sławomir Śmiech
Cracow University of Economics
e-mails: eswanat@cyf-kr.edu.pl; papiezm@uek.krakow.pl; smiechs@uek.krakow.pl

CAUSALITY IN DISTRIBUTION BETWEEN EUROPEAN STOCK MARKETS AND COMMODITY PRICES: USING INDEPENDENCE TEST BASED ON THE EMPIRICAL COPULA

Summary: The aim of the paper is to investigate dynamic linkages between the main European stock markets and two commodity prices: crude oil and gold. For the empirical analysis we use daily data from the period January 2, 1998 to June 30, 2014. To investigate Granger causality a nonparametric test based on the empirical copula is used, which was proposed by Christian Genest and Bruno Rémillard in 2004. The analysis is conducted in rolling windows. There are three main findings of the study. First, relations between commodity prices and stock markets are not stable in time. Second, commodity prices do not Granger cause the European stock market indexes. Third, only the price of gold depends on past values of stock market indexes for almost all sub-periods.

Keywords: Granger causality in distribution, nonparametric test based on the empirical copula, European stock markets, crude oil, gold.

DOI: 10.15611/pn.2015.381.32

1. Introduction

Understanding the dynamics of share prices and stock market indices is important for professionals from both a practical and theoretical point of view, especially in periods when financial instruments undergo high volatility. Last decades show that commodity prices are a significant factor affecting share prices and, consequently, stock market indices. Theoretically, such dependencies are caused by the fact that share prices correspond to a discounted sum of expected futures cash-flows. Thus, they reflect economic conditions tightly connected with commodity prices. However, it is more important that financial market liberalization has made commodities an attractive form of investment. Low interest rates and expectations connected with inflation encourage some investors to invest their capital in raw materials, while others use commodities to diversify their portfolios. That is why mutual relations between commodity prices and a stock market are vital for them. Crude oil and gold

occupy a special position in the commodity market. Crude oil is a strategic energy source in advanced economies, and it is also the world's most commonly traded commodity, while gold is a precious metal most often chosen by investors. The role of gold for investors is especially important during periods of high volatility, and it is described as a "safe haven" from an increasing risk in financial markets [Baur, McDermott 2010].

Subject literature abounds in studies which deal with the relationship between oil price changes and stock prices. The majority of these studies examine the Asian-Pacific region [Cong et al. 2008; Narayan, Narayan 2010; Nguyen, Bhatti 2012; Broadstock, Cao, Zhang 2012; Zhu, Li, Li 2014]. Several researchers investigate the relationship between oil prices and stock returns of the GCC (Gulf Cooperation Council) countries [Mohanty et al, 2011; Hammoudeh, Choi 2006; Arouri, Lahiani, Nguyen 2011; Arouri, Rault 2012]. Park and Ratti [2008] find that oil price shocks have a negative impact on real stock returns in the U.S. and 13 European countries. Arouri [2011] analyses oil prices and stock returns in Europe. Similarly, Śmiech and Papież [2013] analyse fossil fuel prices, exchange rate, stock market on the European market, whereas Creti, Joets and Mignon [2013] examine the links between commodities returns and stock returns on the U.S. data. Souček [2013] compares market activity for three of the major futures contracts in the U.S.: the stock index S&P 500, light sweet crude oil, and gold. The analyses of dependencies also cover emerging markets [Aloui, Nguyen, Njeh 2012] and Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries ([Mohanty, Nandha, Bota 2010; Zohrabyan 2008; Aloui, Hammoudeh, Nguyen 2013]).

In the existing literature various estimation techniques and testing methodologies are used in an effort to investigate the relationship between commodity prices (oil, gold prices) and stock markets. Park and Ratti [2008] use a VAR model. Many authors use the family of GARCH models, specifically bivariate GARCH [Cifarelli, Paladino 2010; Arouri, Lahiani, Nguyen 2011; Arouri 2011; Papież, Śmiech 2012], generalised VAR-GARCH [Arouri, Jouini, Nguyen 2012; Mensi et al. 2013] and multivariate GARCH (Creti et al. (2013) use dynamic conditional correlation (DCC) GARCH).

Copula functions are commonly used to analyse dependencies between financial data. The analysis is performed in two steps: during the first one time series are filtered to obtain i.i.d. series, while during the second one copula functions are selected for marginal distributions obtained earlier. Recently, copula approach has been widely used to capture dependencies of financial series. Li [2000], Patton [2006], Granger, Teräsvirta and Patton [2006], Chen and Fan [2006] are early examples of this approach. Generally, there are two main areas of interest in financial applications of copula models. First, copula functions are used to describe dependence between pairs (usually) of series in order to have benefit of portfolio diversification and to measure co-movement of financial instruments or a contagion effect. Relations

between oil prices and stock market in this context were studied for the U.S. by Geman and Kharoubi [2008], for Poland, the Czech Republic and Hungary by Zohrabyan [2008], for China and Vietnam by Nguyen and Bhatti [2012], and for various countries by Sukcharoen et al. [2014]. Aloui, Hammoudeh and Nguyen [2013] used time-varying copula to focus on the oil–stock market relationship for six major transition markets in the Central and Eastern European region. Wen, Wei and Huang [2012] studied a contagion effect between stock prices and crude oil during the recent financial crisis. Second, a copula function can be used for Granger causality analysis and information transmission (usually volatility transmission). To the best of our knowledge, there are only several studies in this field, for example: [Lee, Yang 2014; Bouezmarni, Rombouts, Taamouti 2012; Taamouti, Bouezmarni, El Ghouch 2014], but none of them deals with the relations between commodity prices and stock markets.

Existing studies present different results; some find that there is no significant effect of oil price shocks on stock prices [Cong et al. 2008; Hammoudeh, Choi 2006; Mohanty, Nandha, Bota 2010; Maghyereh 2004; Sari, Soytas 2006], others find a significant positive impact of oil prices on stock prices [Narayan, Narayan 2010; Nguyen, Bhatti 2012; Broadstock, Cao, Zhang 2012; Mohanty et al. 2011], while still others find a significant negative effect [Aloui, Jammazi 2009; Park, Ratti 2008; Cifarelli, Paladino 2010]. Hence, the evidence from the existing literature on the significance and sign of the impact of oil price changes on stock prices is still inconclusive.

The purpose of the paper is to investigate dynamic linkages between main European stock indices and two commodity prices: crude oil and gold. There are several reasons for choosing European stock market indices. Firstly, they represent the second largest economy worldwide, with GDP of 12,715,823 million USD in 2013 (GDP in the USA in the same year equalled 16,803,000 million USD). Secondly, European countries suffer from insufficient supplies of energy sources and other raw materials. In fact, European Union countries are the largest world importers of crude oil and mining products (24% share of world import, according to the World Trade Organization). However, to the best of our knowledge, relations between European stock markets and main commodity prices have not been of interest among researchers so far. Our study investigates this issue.

The analysis is based on daily data from the period between January 2, 1998 and June 30, 2014, which contains 4210 observations. Stock markets are represented by the main indexes of the largest European stock markets: DAX from Frankfurt Stock Exchange and FTSE100 from London Stock Exchange. Commodity prices are represented by crude oil closing spot prices of Europe Brent and spot gold fixing prices in London. To analyse Granger causality, a nonparametric test based on an empirical copula was used, following the suggestion of Genest and Rémillard from 2004. In order to capture autocorrelation, fat tails, leverage effects and

heteroscedasticity of returns, we use (following [Lee, Yang 2014], or [Zhu, Li, Li 2014]) ARMA-GARCH model, although in our study innovation processes are assumed to be skewed *t*-Student, which seems to be a more general model. Next, for standardized residuals obtained earlier, an empirical copula is found, which is then compared with the independence copula. Granger causality is analysed with the assumption that one of the series (residuals) is lagged relative to the other. Using a test for empirical copula has two basic advantages: firstly, the test results obtained refer to causality in distributions, which means that causality here is treated in more general terms than in traditional cases, when causality is analysed for the first two moments, that is the mean and the variance or some quantiles. The lack of causality in moments does not have to indicate the lack of causality in distributions (including quantiles), thus can give wrong signals to investors. Secondly, the test used is nonparametric, which allows us to avoid the risk of incorrectly specified distribution (of copula function), possibly leading to wrong conclusions regarding certain null hypothesis.

Bearing in mind that the period of analysis covers several episodes of wide instabilities and crises, the analysis is conducted recursively in overlapping rolling windows. The first sub-sample starts on January 2, 1998 and ends on December 31, 2002. The second window is moved towards the first one by one year, so the second sub-sample starts on January 2, 1999 and ends on December 31, 2003. The final (13^{th}) sub-sample starts on January 2, 2010 and ends on June 30, 2014.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the concept of Granger causality in distribution and methods of testing for Granger non causality in copula contexts. Our data are presented in Section 3, while empirical results for two European stock markets and two commodity prices are reported in Section 4. Conclusions are stated in the last section.

2. Methodology

In this section, we will briefly describe Granger causality in distribution (GCD) and present the method of its testing used in our study. To explore causality between two time series we use $\{X_t\}$ to denote the preceding variable and $\{Y_t\}$ as the trailing variable. Consequently, we will assume that market *X* closes before market *Y* closes. The information set before market *X* closes will be denoted as $\mathcal{G}_t$, and the information set after market *X* closes but before market *Y* closes will be denoted as $\mathcal{F}_t$ ($\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{G}_t \cup \{x_t\}$).

It is said that $\{X_t\}$ does not Granger-cause $\{Y_t\}$ in distribution (in short $\{X_t\}$ NGCD $\{Y_t\}$) if and only if $F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{F}_t) = F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{G}_t)$, where $F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{F}_t) = P(Y_t < y \mid \mathcal{F}_t)$ and $F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = P(Y_t < y \mid \mathcal{G}_t)$. Of course, it means that $\{X_t\}$ Granger-causes $\{Y_t\}$ in distribution (in short $\{X_t\}$ GCD $\{Y_t\}$), if $F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{F}_t) \neq F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{G}_t)$ for some *y*.

The above implies that testing NGCD can be based on the following null hypothesis:

$$H_0^1 : f_Y(y \mid \mathcal{F}_t) = f_Y(y \mid \mathcal{G}_t), \tag{1}$$

where $f_Y(y \mid \mathcal{F}_t)$, $f_Y(y \mid \mathcal{G}_t)$ denote densities of conditional distributions respectively $F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{F}_t)$, and $F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{G}_t)$. Using the fact that joint density function is the product of the conditional density and the marginal density (see [Lee, Yang 2014])

$$f_{XY}(x, y \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = f_Y(y \mid \mathcal{F}_t) \cdot f_X(x \mid \mathcal{G}_t), \tag{2}$$

and with the assumption that

$$f_{XY}(x, y \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = f_X(x \mid \mathcal{G}_t) \cdot f_Y(y \mid \mathcal{G}_t), \tag{3}$$

that is with the independence of marginal densities, we obtain the equation from the null hypothesis (1). Hence, the null hypothesis of NGCD, in (1), can be stated as the null hypothesis that conditional marginal distributions are independent:

$$H_0^2 : F_{XY}(x, y \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = F_X(x \mid \mathcal{G}_t) \cdot F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{G}_t). \tag{4}$$

Conditional distributions $F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{F}_t)$ and $F_Y(y \mid \mathcal{G}_t)$ are modelled using two univariate processes ARMA(1,1)-GARCH(1,1) and the null hypothesis in eq. (4) is verified using multivariate independence test based on the empirical copula process, following the suggestion of Christian Genest and Bruno Rémillard (cf. [Genest, Rémillard 2004; Genest, Quessy, Rémillard 2006, 2007]) for standardized residuals of these processes.

It is a rank test based on combinations of asymptotically independent Cramér-von Mises statistics derived from a Möbius decomposition of the empirical copula process:[1]

$$\zeta_n(u) = \sqrt{n}\left(C_n(u) - \prod_{j=1}^{d} u_j \right), \tag{5}$$

where the empirical copula [Deheuvels 1979], defined by

$$C_n(u_1, \ldots, u_d) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{d} 1(R_{ij} \le n u_j), \tag{6}$$

[1] The description of the test below covers testing independence of *d* random variables. In the paper we use its two-dimensional version.

is an estimate of the unique copula C, describing joint distribution H of the multivariate vector with continuous marginals $F_1,...,F_d$

$$H(x_1,...,x_d) = C\big(F_1(x_1),...,F_d(x_d)\big). \tag{7}$$

Symbol R_{ij} from the formula (6) stands for ranks which are used to replace original observations X_{ij}:

$$R_{ij} = \sum_{k=}^{n} 1\left(X_{kj} \le X_{ij}\right),\ 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le d\ . \tag{8}$$

Process (5) measures the difference between the empirical copula C_n and the independent copula $C_\perp = u_1 \cdot ... \cdot u_d$.

In practice, this test is applied in two steps:

- a simulation step, which consists of simulating the distribution of the test statistics under independence for the sample size under consideration,
- the test itself, which consists of computing the value of the global Cramér-von Mises statistic derived directly from the independence empirical copula process (see [Genest, Quessy, Rémillard 2007], p. 175) and corresponding *p*-value).

3. Data

For the empirical analysis, we use daily data from the period January 2, 1998 to June 30, 2014, which contains 4210 observations. The analysis is based on two closing value indexes: FTSE100 (London Stock Exchange) and DAX (Frankfurt Stock Exchange), and crude oil closing spot prices of Europe Brent (BRENT) (London Spot Market), based in U.S. dollars per barrel and spot gold fixing prices (GOLD) (3:00 P.M., London time, London Bullion Market), based in U.S. dollars per troy ounce. Both indices are denominated in USD, and data are obtained from the Yahoo Finance database [http://finance.yahoo.com]. The data for crude oil spot prices and gold prices are taken from the CEIC Data database. Indexes DAX and FTSE100 represent two European stock exchanges with the highest capitalization (London SE Group domestic market capitalization amounted to approximately $4,428,975 million in 2013 and Deutsche Börse domestic market capitalization amounted to approximately $1,936,106 million in 2013 (source: World Federation of Exchanges)). The Brent index actually serves as pricing benchmark for two thirds of the world's internationally traded crude oil supplies.

Basic descriptive statistics can be found in Table 1. The data analysed are the logarithmic returns. The returns of daily value indexes, crude oil prices and gold prices are calculated on a continuous compound basis, defined as $r_{i,t} = \ln(P_{i,t} / P_{i,t-1})$, where $P_{i,t}$ and $P_{i,t-1}$ are the closing value index or crude oil price or gold price (i)

for days t and $t - 1$, respectively. Daily prices or indexes and daily returns of each four variables are given in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, respectively. The plots of prices and returns in their respective markets clearly move in a similar manner. The descriptive statistics for crude oil returns, gold returns and set index returns are reported in Table 2.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for levels.

	DAX	FTSE100	BRENT	GOLD
Mean	7 221.96	9 195.28	60.46	750.31
Median	6 769.74	9 238.77	57.17	580.63
Max	13 625.45	13 963.45	145.41	1895.00
Min	2 429.42	4 872.93	9.21	252.80
Std. Dev.	2 525.31	1 727.56	35.91	488.41
C.V.	0.35	0.19	0.59	0.65
Skewness	0.46	0.16	0.33	0.70
Kurtosis	2.42	2.85	1.73	2.06

C.V. is the coefficient of variation.

Source: author's own calculations.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for returns

	DAX	FTSE100	BRENT	GOLD
Mean	0.00025	0.00007	0.00046	0.00036
Median	0.00095	0.00053	0.00040	0.00050
Max	0.11238	0.12172	0.14337	0.07006
Min	–0.09796	–0.10537	–0.16536	–0.11184
Std. Dev.	0.01706	0.01409	0.02341	0.01155
Skewness	–0.14184	–0.10649	–0.19095	–0.51747
Kurtosis	6.62482	10.87260	6.61714	11.29558

Source: author's own calculations.



Figure 1. Brent spot oil and gold prices, DAX and FTSE100 indexes between January 2, 1998 and June 30, 2014

Source: author's own calculations.



Figure 2. Returns of series Brent spot oil and gold prices, DAX and FTSE100 indexes between January 2, 1998 and June 30, 2014

Source: author's own calculations.

The study period runs from January 2, 1998 to June 30, 2014 and covers several episodes of wide instabilities and crises, e.g., the Gulf War, the Libyan revolution, the global financial crisis (the sub-prime crisis July 26, 2007 to September 14, 2008, the great recession September 15, 2008 to December 31, 2009) and the European debt crisis from January 1, 2010 to January 31, 2013 [Fry-McKibbin, Hsiao, Tang 2014]. During this period the number of transactions in the commodity market increased rapidly. In order to check stability of relations between indexes, we divide the period of analysis into 13 sub-samples, each lasting 5 years and use the rolling procedure. The first sub-sample starts on January 2, 1998 and ends on December 31, 2002. The second sub-sample starts on January 2, 1999 and ends on December 31, 2003. The final (13^{th}) sub-sample starts on January 2, 2010 and ends on June 30, 2014. A detailed description of all sub-samples can be found in Table 3.

Table 3. Sub-samples in each date set

Sub-sample	Period	Obs.	Sub-sample	Period	Obs.
1	Jan 2, 1998 – Dec 31, 2002	1262	8	Jan 4, 2005 – Dec 31, 2009	1279
2	Jan 4, 1999 – Dec 31, 2003	1265	9	Jan 3, 2006 – Dec 30, 2010	1285
3	Jan 4, 2000 – Dec 31, 2004	1268	10	Jan 2, 2007 – Dec 30, 2011	1290
4	Jan 2, 2001 – Dec 30, 2005	1268	11	Jan 2, 2008 – Dec 31, 2012	1290
5	Jan 2, 2002 – Dec 29, 2006	1269	12	Jan 2, 2009 – Dec 31, 2013	1290
6	Jan 2, 2003 – Dec 31, 2007	1272	13	Jan 4, 2010 – June 30, 2014	1159
7	Jan 2, 2004 – Dec 31, 2008	1276			

Source: author's own elaboration.

4. Empirical results

We investigate causality between the European stock market and commodity prices using methodology described in Section 2. The analysis of contemporaneous causality and Granger causality in distribution between European stock markets and commodity prices is conducted for the following pairs: the German stock index and crude oil prices (DAX-BRENT); the German stock index and gold (DAX – GOLD); the UK stock market and crude oil (FTSE100 – BRENT); and the UK stock market and gold (FTSE100 – GOLD).

The aim of the analysis is to investigate both the direction of relations between stock markets and commodity prices, and stability of these relations, which is of vital importance for financial investors.

The analysis is conducted for each sub-sample from Table 3, assuming that a preceding variable is a logarithmic rate of return lagged by 1 (Granger causality in distribution) or not lagged (contemporaneous causality). We are not interested in causality in a longer horizon, because, as Dufour and Renault [1998] and Dufour, Pelletier, and Renault [2006] show, in the financial market, if there is no causality

between $\{X_t\}$ and $\{Y_t\}$, it will be difficult to explore Granger causality in a longer horizon. As a consequence of the development of information technology, the impact of information in one market has the most significant effects in a short run.

For each pair, each sub-sample and each conditional lag, distribution was modelled with the use of univariate processes ARMA-GARCH, in which standardized residuals follow skewed Student's *t*-distribution.[2] The order of ARMA terms and the lag orders of the GARCH model are all specified to be 1, as Brooks [2002] stated that a GARCH-family model with lag order of 1 can sufficiently describe volatility clustering in asset returns, and higher-order models are rarely used in financial literature. Skewed Student's *t*-distribution was chosen because it is a desirable extension of both normal and Student-*t* density. According to Bastianin [2009], two of the most common deviations from normality are fat tails and asymmetry. Although Student-*t* density can capture fat tails, skewed-*t* density can capture both skewness and fat tails.

Independence of standardized residuals is tested using a multivariate independence test based on the empirical copula process. Obtained values of Cramér-von Mises statistic and corresponding *p*-values[3] are presented in Tables 4, 5 and 6 for all analysed sub-periods.

Table 4. Testing for contemporaneous causality (delay 0)

Subsample	DAX – GOLD		DAX – BRENT		FTSE – GOLD		FTSE – BRENT	
	CM	*p*-value	*CM*	*p*-value	*CM*	*p*-value	*CM*	*p*-value
1	0.038	0.163	0.011	0.989	0.023	0.532	0.021	0.574
2	0.028	0.383	0.016	0.820	0.019	0.653	0.022	0.525
3	0.028	0.388	0.053	0.070	0.031	0.323	0.029	0.361
4	0.051	0.071	0.046	0.118	0.132	0.000	0.074	0.018
5	0.081	0.019	0.037	0.171	0.336	0.000	0.104	0.004
6	0.512	0.000	0.029	0.323	0.967	0.000	0.122	0.001
7	0.502	0.000	0.072	0.022	0.994	0.000	0.334	0.000
8	0.524	0.000	0.416	0.000	0.817	0.000	0.863	0.000
9	0.559	0.000	0.970	0.000	0.835	0.000	1.518	0.000
10	0.616	0.000	1.445	0.000	0.639	0.000	1.874	0.000
11	0.616	0.000	1.930	0.000	0.656	0.000	2.408	0.000
12	0.743	0.000	1.980	0.000	0.846	0.000	2.521	0.000
13	0.447	0.000	1.478	0.000	0.607	0.000	1.938	0.000

CM is the Cramér-von Mises statistics.

Source: author's own calculations.

[2] The parameters of the model are assessed using R package "rugarch" (version 1.2-9), developed by Alexios Ghalanos. The results can be obtained from the author upon request.

[3] These values were obtained by using R package "copula" (version 0.999-10), developed by Marius Hofert, Ivan Kojadinovic, Martin Maechler and Jun Yan.

1. *Are distributions of the European stock market and commodity prices independent on the same day?*

Table 4 shows results of the evaluation of dynamic interactions between the European stock market and commodity prices on the same day. The value of the Cramér-von Mises statistics may be interpreted as contemporaneous causality between the European stock market and commodity prices. At the 5% significance level we conclude that contemporaneous causality between the UK stock market index (FTSE100) and Brent spot prices exists starting from the fourth sub-period. Similarly, at the 5% significance level, we find contemporaneous causality between the German stock index (DAX) and Brent spot prices beginning from the seventh sub-period.

Similar results of the Cramér-von Mises test presented in Table 4 indicate that at the 5% level of statistical significance there are simultaneous links between the European stock market and gold prices. Contemporaneous causality between the German stock index (DAX) and gold prices is observed from the fifth sub-period (statistically significant at the 5% level). Similarly, the interaction between the UK stock index (FTSE100) and gold prices on the same day is observed from the fourth sub-period till the last sub-period. This means that contemporaneous causality between FTSE100 and gold prices lasts from January 2001 to June 2014.

2. *Is there an impact of the European stock market on crude oil and gold prices?*

The results of Granger causality in distribution obtained with the use of the Cramér-von Mises test presented in Table 5 show that in all sub-periods the German stock index (DAX) does not Granger cause the prices of crude oil. Similarly, it can

Table 5. Impact of the European stock market on crude oil and gold prices

Subsample	DAX → GOLD		DAX → BRENT		FTSE → GOLD		FTSE → BRENT	
	CM	*p*-value	*CM*	*p*-value	*CM*	*p*-value	*CM*	*p*-value
1	0.040	0.151	0.062	0.043	0.047	0.100	0.053	0.075
2	0.036	0.193	0.039	0.160	0.058	0.054	0.048	0.097
3	0.054	0.055	0.023	0.515	0.097	0.006	0.05	0.092
4	0.040	0.150	0.022	0.557	0.123	0.001	0.064	0.027
5	0.072	0.017	0.027	0.372	0.141	0.000	0.028	0.352
6	0.049	0.067	0.014	0.908	0.143	0.000	0.012	0.957
7	0.080	0.016	0.025	0.457	0.121	0.002	0.016	0.789
8	0.079	0.010	0.029	0.361	0.097	0.003	0.019	0.668
9	0.055	0.050	0.042	0.129	0.070	0.027	0.017	0.729
10	0.054	0.056	0.031	0.282	0.070	0.032	0.048	0.091
11	0.060	0.052	0.017	0.766	0.057	0.045	0.033	0.278
12	0.076	0.016	0.019	0.691	0.073	0.021	0.025	0.465
13	0.090	0.005	0.037	0.205	0.043	0.165	0.037	0.178

CM is the Cramér-von Mises statistics.

Source: author's own calculations.

be observed that the UK stock market index (FTSE100) does not Granger cause crude oil prices in all sub-periods except for the fourth sub-period from January 2, 2001 to December 31, 2005 (the *p*-value of the Cramér-von Mises statistics is below the 5% significance level). These results indicate that past information from the European stock market index does not improve forecasts of Brent spot prices.

However, at the 5% statistical significance level, the German stock index (DAX) Granger causes gold prices beginning from the fifth sub-period. So, these results indicate that past information from the German stock index (DAX) improves forecast of gold prices in London from 2002 to June 2014. Similarity, the UK stock index (FTSE100) Granger causes gold prices from the second sub-period to the twelfth sub-period. It means that European stock market index influences gold prices from 1999 (in case of the UK stock index) and from 2002 (in case of the German stock index).

3. *Is there an impact of crude oil and gold prices on the European stock market*?

The results of the Cramér-von Mises test presented in Table 6 show that, at the 5% statistical significance level, crude oil prices do not Granger cause the German stock index (DAX). Similarly, the prices of crude oil do not influence the UK stock index (FTES100) except for the period from the third sub-period to the fifth sub-period. So, Brent spot prices significantly influence the UK stock index (FTSE100) from the third sub-period to the fifth sub-period. It means that the prices of crude oil have an impact on the UK stock index (FTSE100) from January 2000 to December 2006.

Table 6. Impact of crude oil and gold prices on the European stock market

Subsample	GOLD → DAX		GOLD → FTSE		BRENT → DAX		BRENT → FTSE	
	CM	*p*-value	*CM*	*p*-value	*CM*	*p*-value	*CM*	*p*-value
1	0.037	0.189	0.023	0.516	0.033	0.236	0.032	0.243
2	0.043	0.124	0.024	0.466	0.026	0.413	0.048	0.091
3	0.076	0.020	0.019	0.708	0.031	0.290	0.070	0.027
4	0.105	0.005	0.018	0.736	0.024	0.472	0.079	0.019
5	0.045	0.122	0.016	0.843	0.037	0.181	0.061	0.046
6	0.071	0.028	0.016	0.800	0.023	0.507	0.031	0.301
7	0.042	0.129	0.025	0.460	0.015	0.862	0.012	0.961
8	0.022	0.531	0.048	0.107	0.015	0.861	0.020	0.646
9	0.026	0.425	0.043	0.133	0.024	0.462	0.026	0.434
10	0.013	0.936	0.018	0.720	0.055	0.062	0.055	0.066
11	0.017	0.732	0.017	0.771	0.037	0.187	0.054	0.080
12	0.017	0.795	0.013	0.921	0.024	0.439	0.045	0.111
13	0.014	0.859	0.021	0.599	0.023	0.470	0.036	0.210

CM is the Cramér-von Mises statistics.

Source: author's own calculations.

However, the results indicate that, at the 5% statistical significance level, gold prices do not Granger cause the UK stock index (FTSE100). So, past information from gold prices does not improve forecasts of the UK stock index (FTSE100) in all sub-periods. Similarity, the prices of gold do not influence the German stock index (DAX) except for the third sub-period, the forth sub-period and the sixth sub-period. So, gold prices significantly improve forecasts of the German stock index (DAX) from January 2000 to December 2007.

5. Conclusions

The objective of the study is a dynamic assessment of dependencies between European stock markets and commodity prices: crude oil and gold using daily data spanning from January 2, 1998 to June 30, 2014. The dependencies are analysed using causality in distribution with a test based on a copula. The study investigates both contemporaneous causality in distribution and Granger-causality in distribution with the rolling procedure (that is, the analysis in 5-year sub-periods).

There are three main findings of the study. First, relations between commodity prices and stock markets are not stable in time. It is especially clear when we compare contemporaneous causality between stock market indexes and commodity prices for different sub-periods. At the beginning, stock markets seem to be unrelated to the commodity market, which changes in the period starting in 2003, when dependencies between them are observed. This phenomenon, on the one hand, can be explained by a fast flow of information between financial and commodity markets, and, on the other hand, indicates that both markets respond to global factors.

Second, when we focus on Granger causality, it is clear that the role of stock market indexes and commodity prices is not symmetrical. Generally, commodity prices do not Granger cause the European stock market indexes. Only in several sub-periods, that is for data covering years 2000–2006, significant causal relations are observed from gold to DAX and from Brent to FTSE.

Third, there exist dissimilar behaviours of commodity prices in response to past values of stock market indexes. The price of gold depends on past values of both stock market indexes for almost all sub-periods. A different trend is observed for crude oil prices, which in most sub-periods do not depend on past values of stock market indexes.

Such linkages between European stock market indexes and gold prices can indicate that indeed gold is perceived by investors as “save heaven”, which confirms the results obtained by Baur and McDermott [2010].

Understanding the type of relationship between commodity prices and stock prices is beneficial to portfolio managers, investors, financial market regulators, and energy analysts and policy makers. The findings can be utilized to build profitable portfolio strategies for traders, who operate on both financial and commodity

markets. Showing the connections between markets and particular processes indicates the directions of diversification.

Acknowledgements

The study is supported with subsidies for maintaining research capacity granted to Cracow University of Economics by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

References

Aloui R., Hammoudeh S., Nguyen D.K., 2013, *A Time-varying Copula Approach to Oil and Stock Market Dependence: The Case of Transition Economies*, Energy Economics, vol. 39, p. 208–221.

Aloui C, Jammazi R., 2009, *The Effects of Crude Oil Shocks on Stock Market Shifts Behaviour: A Regime Switching Approach*, Energy Economics, vol. 31, p. 789–799.

Aloui C., Nguyen D.K., Njeh H., 2012, *Assessing the Impacts of Oil Price Fluctuations on Stock Returns in Emerging Markets*, Economic Modelling, vol. 29, p. 2686–2695.

Arouri M.E., 2011, *Does Crude Oil Move Stock Markets in Europe? A Sector Investigation*, Economic Modelling, vol. 28, p. 1716–1725.

Arouri M., Jouini J., Nguyen D.K., 2012, *On the Impacts of Oil Price Fluctuations on European Equity Markets: Volatility Spillover and Hedging Effectiveness*, Energy Economics, vol. 34, p. 611–617.

Arouri M., Lahiani A., Nguyen D.K., 2011, *Return and Volatility Transmission between World Oil Prices and Stock Markets of the GCC Countries*, Economic Modelling, vol. 28, p. 1815–1825.

Arouri M.E., Rault C., 2012, *Oil Prices and Stock Markets in GCC Countries: Empirical Evidence from Panel Analysis*, International Journal of Finance & Economics, vol. 17, p. 242–253.

Bastianin A., 2009, *Modelling Asymmetric Dependence Using Copula Functions: An Application to Value-At-Risk in the Energy Sector*, FEEM Working Paper 2009.24, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, Italy.

Baur D.G., McDermottT.K., 2010, *Is Gold a Safe Haven? International Evidence*, Journal of Banking & Finance, vol. 34, p. 1886–1898.

Bouezmarni T., Rombouts J.V., Taamouti A., 2012, *Nonparametric Copula-based Test for Conditional Independence with Applications to Granger Causality*, Journal of Business & Economic Statistics, vol. 30, no. 2, p. 275–287.

Broadstock D.C., Cao H., Zhang D., 2012, *Oil Shocks and Their Impact on Energy Related Stocks in China*, Energy Economics, vol. 34, p. 1888–1895.

Brooks C., 2002, *Introductory Econometrics for Finance*, Cambridge University Press, New York.

Chen X., Fan Y., 2006, *Estimation of Copula-based Semiparametric Time Series Models*, Journal of Econometrics, vol. 130, no. 2, p. 307–335.

Cifarelli G., Paladino G., 2010, *Oil Price Dynamics and Speculation: A Multivariate Financial Approach*, Energy Economics, vol. 32, p. 363–-372.

Cong R., Wei Y., Jiao J., Fan Y., 2008, *Relationships between Oil Price Shocks and Stock Market: An Empirical Analysis from China*, Energy Policy, vol. 36, p. 3544–3553.

Creti A., Joets M., Mignon V., 2013, *On the Link Between Stock and Commodity Markets' Volatility*, Energy Economics, vol. 37, p. 16–28.

Deheuvels P., 1979, *La fonction de dépendance empirique et ses propriétés: Un test non paramétrique d'indépendance*, Académie Royal Belgique, Bulletin de la Classe des Science, 5e série, 65, p. 274–292.

Dufour J., Pelletier D., Renault E., 2006, *Short Run and Long Run Causality in Time Series: Inference*, Journal of Econometrics, vol. 132, p. 337–362.

Dufour J., Renault E., 1998, *Short Run and Long Run Causality in Time Series: Theory*, Econometrica, vol. 66, p. 1099–1125.

Fry-McKibbin R., Hsiao C.Y.L., Tang C., 2014, *Contagion and Global Financial Crises: Lessons from Nine Crisis Episodes*, Open Economies Review, vol. 25, p. 521–570.

Geman H., Kharoubi C., 2008, *WTI Crude Oil Futures in Portfolio Diversification: The Time-to-maturity Effect*, Journal of Banking & Finance, vol. 32, p. 2553–2559.

Genest C., Rémillard B., 2004, *Tests of Independence and Randomness Based on the Empirical Copula Process*, Test, vol. 13, p. 335–369.

Genest C., Quessy J.F., Rémillard B., 2006, *Local Efficiency of a Cramer-von Mises Test of Independence*, Journal of Multivariate Analysis, vol. 97, p. 274–294.

Genest C., Quessy J.F., Rémillard B., 2007, *Asymptotic Local Efficiency of Cramér-von Mises Tests for Multivariate Independence*, The Annals of Statistics, vol. 35, p. 166–191.

Granger C.W., Teräsvirta T., Patton A.J., 2006, *Common Factors in Conditional Distributions for Bivariate Time Series*, Journal of Econometrics, vol. 132, p. 43–57.

Hammoudeh S, Choi K., 2006, *Behavior of GCC Stock Markets and Impacts of US*, Research in International Business and Finance, vol. 20, p. 22–44.

Lee T.H., Yang W., 2014, *Granger-causality in Quantiles between Financial Markets: Using Copula Approach*, International Review of Financial Analysis, vol. 33, p. 70–78.

Li D.X., 2000, *On Default Correlation: A Copula Function Approach*, The Journal of Fixed Income, vol. 9, p. 43–54.

Maghyereh A., 2004, *Oil Price Shocks and Emerging Stock Markets: A Generalized VAR Approach*, International Journal of Applied Econometrics and Quantitative Studies, vol. 1/2, p. 27–40.

Mensi W., Beljid M., Boubaker A., Managi S., 2013, *Correlations and Volatility Spillovers across Commodity and Stock Markets: Linking Energies, Food, and Gold*, Economic Modelling, vol. 32, p. 15–22.

Mohanty S., Nandha M., Bota G., 2010, *Oil Shocks and Stock Returns: The Case of the Central and Eastern European (CEE) Oil and Gas Sectors*, Emerging Markets Review, vol. 11, p. 358–372.

Mohanty S., Nandha M., Turkistani A.Q., Alaitani M.Y., 2011, *Oil Price Movements and Stock Market Returns: Evidence from Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) Countries*, Global Finance Journal, vol. 22, p. 42–55.

Narayan P.K., Narayan S., 2010, *Modelling the Impact of Oil Prices on Vietnam's Stock Prices*, Applied Energy, vol. 87, p. 356–361.

Nguyen C.C., Bhatti M.I., 2012, *Copula Model Dependency between Oil Prices and Stock Markets: Evidence from China and Vietnam*, Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money, vol. 22, p. 758–773.

Papież M., Śmiech S., 2012, *Causality in Mean and Variance between Returns of Crude Oil and Metal Prices, Agricultural Prices and Financial Market Prices*, [in:] J. Ramík, D. Stavárek (eds.), *Proceedings of 30th International Conference Mathematical Methods in Economics*, Silesian University, School of Business Administration, Karviná, p. 675–680.

Park J., Ratti R.A., 2008, *Oil Price Shocks and Stock Markets in the U.S. and 13 European Countries*, Energy Economics, vol. 30, p. 2587–2608.

Patton A.J., 2006, *Modelling Asymmetric Exchange Rate Dependence*, International Economic Review, vol. 47, p. 527–556.

Sari R, Soytas U., 2006, *The Relationship between Stock Returns, Crude Oil Prices, Interest Rates, and Output: Evidence from a Developing Economy*, The Empirical Economics Letters, vol. 5, p. 205–220.

Souček M., 2013, *Crude Oil, Equity and Gold Futures Open Interest Co-movements*, Energy Economics, vol. 40, p. 306–315.

Sukcharoen K., Zohrabyan T., Leatham D., Wu X., 2014, *Interdependence of Oil Prices and Stock Market Indices: A Copula Approach*, Energy Economics, vol. 44, p. 331–339.

Śmiech S., Papież M., 2013, *Fossil Fuel Prices, Exchange Rate, Stock Market: A Dynamic Causality Analysis on the European Market*, Economics Letters, vol. 118, p. 199–202.

Taamouti A., Bouezmarni T., El Ghouch A., 2014, *Nonparametric Estimation and Inference for Conditional Density Based Granger Causality Measures*, Journal of Econometrics, vol. 180, p. 251–264.

Wen X., Wei Y., Huang D., 2012, *Measuring Contagion between Energy Market and Stock Market during Financial Crisis: A Copula Approach*, Energy Economics, vol. 34, p. 1435–1446.

Zhu H.M., Li R., Li S., 2014, *Modelling Dynamic Dependence between Crude Oil Prices and Asia-Pacific Stock Market Returns*, International Review of Economics & Finance, vol. 29, p. 208–223.

Zohrabyan T., 2008, *Essay on Time Series and Causality in financial Markets*, unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Texas A&M University, http://repository.tamu.edu/bitstream/handle/1969.1/ETD-TAMU-3093/ZOHRABYAN-DISSERTATION.pdf (retrieved: 1.07.2014).

ANALIZA PRZYCZYNOWOŚCI W ROZKŁADZIE MIĘDZY EUROPEJSKIMI RYNKAMI AKCJI A CENAMI SUROWCÓW Z WYKORZYSTANIEM TESTU NIEZALEŻNOŚCI OPARTYM NA KOPULE EMPIRYCZNEJ

Streszczenie: W pracy analizowano dynamiczne powiązania między głównymi europejskimi rynkami akcji a cenami dwóch surowców: ropy i złota. Wykorzystano w tym celu przyczynowość w sensie Grangera w rozkładzie, którą testowano za pomocą nieparametrycznego testu niezależności opartego na kopule empirycznej. Do analizy empirycznej wykorzystano dane dzienne z okresu 2.01.1998–30.06.2014. Przeprowadzono ją dla rolowanej próby, przesuwając okno czasowe. Uzyskano trzy główne wyniki badania. Po pierwsze, powiązania między cenami surowców a rynkami akcji nie są stabilne w czasie. Po drugie, ceny surowców nie są przyczyną w sensie Grangera europejskich indeksów giełdowych. Po trzecie, tylko cena złota zależy od ostatnich wartości indeksów giełdowych dla prawie wszystkich podokresów.

Słowa kluczowe: przyczynowość w sensie Grangera w rozkładzie, nieparametryczny test bazujący na kopule empirycznej, europejski rynek akcji, ropa, złoto.